

# **Plant Archives**

Journal homepage: http://www.plantarchives.org DOI Url : https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2024.v24.no.1.162

## IMPACT OF DIFFERENT SIZE OF POLYBAGS ON MANGO GRAFTS UNDER PROTECTED STRUCTURES

Smriti D. Gawai\*, S.V. Gholap, Priya Suresh Gawande, S.G. Bharad, U.A. Raut and V.S. Tadas

Department of Fruit Science, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola - 444 104, Maharashtra, India. \*Corresponding author E-mail : gawai.smriti23@gmail.com

(Date of Receiving-17-01-2024; Date of Acceptance-22-03-2024)

The present investigation entitled "Impact of different size of polybags on mango grafts under protected structures" was carried out at Fruit Nursery, Department of Fruit Science, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra during the year 2022-23 with the objectives to study the effect of different poly bag size and protected structures on success and survival of mango grafts. The experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design with factor A as different poly bag size viz., B<sub>1</sub>(6"×9"), B<sub>2</sub>(7"×11") and  $B_3(8^{\circ}\times12^{\circ})$  and Factor B as protected structures viz.,  $S_1$  (Insect proof net),  $S_2$  (50% green shade net),  $S_3$ (70% green shade net),  $S_4$  (Polytunnel) and  $S_5$  (Open conditions) replicated four times. The result of the present investigation revealed that the polybag size B, was significantly superior in the length of scion ABSTRACT (17.58 cm), number of leaves per graft (14.64) and diameter of graft union (7.15 mm) as compared to B, and B, at 150 DAG. Study on protected structures revealed that at 150 DAG the length of scion (19.10 cm), the maximum number of leaves (15.33), the diameter of graft (7.29 mm), the success percentage (79.16%) and final survival percentage (86.74%) of grafted plants was recorded to be superior in S, followed by S, as compared to other treatments. The interaction between poly bag size and protected conditions revealed that treatment combination S<sub>1</sub>B<sub>2</sub> was significantly superior in length of scion (21.74 cm), number of leaves (16.61) and diameter of graft (7.66 mm) as compared to other treatment combinations at 150 DAG.

Key words : Insect-proof net, Green shade net, Polytunnel, Poly bags, Softwood grafting.

#### Introduction

Mango (*Mangifera indica* L), which belongs to the family Anacardiaceae is revered as the "King of Fruits", holds a prominent position among fruit crops in India. Its distinct flavor and delightful taste make it an economically significant fruit worldwide. However, achieving optimal mango production requires addressing critical factors, with the quality of planting material being paramount. In recent years, there has been a growing demand for high-quality mango planting material. The availability of superior planting material plays a pivotal role in achieving successful mango production. Unfortunately, small-scale farmers in various regions often encounter subpar planting materials, which directly impact potential yields and overall crop performance. The scarcity of quality seedlings has significantly hampered mango production and productivity.

The congenial condition prevailing during grafting has an influence on various growth parameters including days required for sprouting, number of leaves per graft, leaf area, number of sprouts, scion and stock diameter, scion and stock length, etc. which are direct indications of a successful graft. Crops grown in open conditions are affected by various abiotic and biotic factors. In the recent period, protected structures have been used for reducing thermal radiation, improving the micro-environment, providing physical protection and enhancing growth (Jain, 2021).

Mango is a deeply tap-rooted and fast-growing fruit crop (Ranjit Singh, 1969). By the time of the sale of grafts, many times the roots are penetrated through the plastic bags. Further, such grafts take a longer time for establishment in the field after transplanting and subsequent growth remains slow. Healthy grafts with strong undisturbed root system establish quickly in the field and can be trained early for canopy development (Haldankar *et al*, 2014).

Maintaining a congenial microclimate for the successful establishment of mango grafts in open conditions is a challenge in the Vidarbha region of Maharashtra due to hot and dry climatic conditions and this leads to mortality of the grafts. The technique for the establishment of mango propagation under protected conditions may be adopted in climate of the Vidarbha region of Maharashtra since in the summer season, the temperature reaches 45°C and relative humidity 10% to 20%. The success or failure of grafting is highly dependent on the environmental conditions under which it is performed. Choosing the right grafting season is crucial for successful grafting as it ensures rapid healing and the subsequent formation of the graft union. In the present investigation, grafting was carried out in the first week of November. The impact of climate change has led to an increase in rainy days. Atmospheric humidity is maximum in the month of November and also the success percentage is higher. So, considering this premise, the present research work was carried out to ascertain the combination of polybag size and protected structure for maximum success and survival of mango grafts.

### **Materials and Methods**

The experiment entitled "Impact of different size of polybags on mango grafts under protected structures" was carried out at Fruit Nursery, Department of Fruit Science, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra during the year 2022-23 with the objectives to study the effect of different poly bag size and protected structures and their combination on success and survival of mango grafts. The experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design with factor A as different poly bag size *viz.*, B<sub>1</sub> (6"×9"), B<sub>2</sub> (7"×11") and B<sub>3</sub> (8"×12") and Factor B as protected structures *viz.*, S<sub>1</sub> (Insect proof net), S<sub>2</sub> (50% green shade net), S<sub>3</sub> (70% green shade net), S<sub>4</sub> (Polytunnel) and S<sub>5</sub> (Open conditions) with fifteen treatment combinations replicated four times.

#### Methodology

Three different-sized poly bags such as  $6 \times 9$ ,  $7 \times 11$ , and  $8 \times 12$  inches were used for raising the rootstock. Locally available mango stones were collected and immediately raised in three different-sized polythene bags. The poly bags were filled with potting mixture consisting of soil, silt, and FYM in a ratio of 2:1:1. Healthy and diseased-free mango stones were selected and a single



Fig. 1 : Grafts in polybag size  $6 \times 9$ ".



**Fig. 2 :** Grafts in polybag size  $7 \times 11$ ".



Fig. 3 : Grafts in polybag size 8x12".

mango stone was sown in each poly bag in the month of June. The scion was selected from a healthy, matured mother tree which was free from pests and diseases. The scion was selected from non- flowering terminal shoot. The cultivar selected as a scion shoot was Kesar. The selected scion shoots were defoliated on the mother plant a week before detaching. A part of the petiole was kept intact on the selected terminal shoot. The scion shoots were collected during the early morning hours on the day of grafting. Shoots of pencil thickness, which were one season old and free from pests and diseases were selected. Healthy and disease-free rootstock seedlings were selected and softwood grafting was done on the same day of separation of scion from mother tree in the month of November. The graft union was wrapped firmly using a polythene strip of 200 gauge. The scion sticks were then covered immediately with polytube cover caps to maintain the microclimate and avoid desiccation of the scion and also to avoid the graft union from coming in contact with water. As per treatment, the grafted plants in different-sized poly bags were then shifted under different protected structures. A total of 600 healthy mango grafts were prepared at the rate of 120 grafts under each protected structure. Observations were recorded on three grafts per replication at monthly intervals up to 150 DAG. The collected data was analyzed using statistical methods outlined by Panse and Sukhatme (1985) and treatment means were compared using critical difference values at 5% level of significance. The observations recorded include the length of the scion (cm), the number of leaves per graft, the diameter of graft union (mm), success percentage and final survival percentage.

#### Length of scion (cm)

The length of scion was measured using a measuring scale from the point of graft union up to the shoot tip at monthly intervals up to 150 days after grafting and the mean was calculated.

#### Number of leaves per graft

The number of leaves per graft was counted manually after 30 DAG at monthly intervals up to 150 days after grafting from randomly selected grafted plants and the mean values were computed.

#### Diameter of graft (mm)

The diameter of the randomly selected observational grafts was measured using Vernier Calliper at monthly intervals up to 150 days after grafting and after computing the mean, it was recorded as the diameter of the graft in milli meter (mm).

#### Success percentage (%)

The success percentage was calculated by dividing the number of successful grafts by the total number of grafted rootstocks and expressing it as a percentage.

Success percentage (%) = 
$$\frac{\text{Number of successful grafts}}{\text{Total number of grafted}} \times 100$$
  
root-stock

#### Final survival percentage (%)

The final survival percentage was calculated at 150 DAG based on the number of survived grafts out of the total number of successful grafts.

Final survival percentage (%) = 
$$\frac{\text{Number of survived}}{\text{Total number of}} \times 100$$
  
successful grafts

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### Length of scion (cm)

The length of the scion (12.43, 13.90, 15.27, 17.58 cm) was found to be significant at all stages of growth *i.e.*, 60, 90, 120 and 150 DAG respectively except at 30 DAG in polybag  $B_3$  which was statistically at par with  $B_2$ . The increased soil volume in larger polybags likely contributed to enhanced nutrient availability, promoting scion elongation. These findings align with those reported by Adu-Berko *et al.* (2011) in cashew and Begum *et al.* (2021) in *Khaya anthotheca*.

At 30 and 60 DAG maximum length of scion (11.60, 12.52 cm) was recorded in  $S_2$ , which was at par with  $S_3$  and  $S_1$ . However, at 90, 120 and 150 DAG maximum length of scion (14.12, 16.57, 19.90 cm) was noted in  $S_1$  followed by  $S_2$  and  $S_3$ . Maximum scion length under treatment  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  might be due to the fact that both the nets create a microclimate that is conducive to plant growth. Insect-proof nets maintain stable humidity levels and prevent sudden temperature fluctuations and green shade nets filter sunlight, preventing scorching and promoting balanced photosynthesis. The results of the experiment are in close agreement with those of Fatnassi *et al.* (2001), Tanny (2013), Sivudu *et al.* (2014) in mango and Mahmood *et al.* (2018).

The maximum length of scion (11.84 cm) at 60 DAG was recorded in  $S_3B_2$  and  $S_2B_3$ , whereas, maximum (15.44, 17.22, 21.74 cm) length of scion at 90, 120 and 150 DAG, respectively was recorded in treatment combination  $S_1B_3$ . The variation in length of scion in different-sized poly bags and protected structures might be because, the favorable conditions such as temperature and high humidity prevailing inside the protected structure stimulate rapid callusing and early contact of cambial layers, which enables the graft to heal quickly and make a strong union ultimately leading to better strength and faster growth (Chander et al., 2016). The roots also nourish properly and the strong root system might have absorbed more nutrients from the soils thereby increasing the shoot length of scion on the grafted plants (Karna et al., 2018).

#### Number of leaves per graft

Significantly, maximum (9.61, 11.28, 12.42, 14.64) number of leaves was recorded in treatment B<sub>3</sub> (8×12"). Whereas, minimum number of leaves (9.27, 10.40, 12.09, 13.93) was recorded in B<sub>1</sub>. A well-developed root system contributes for better uptake of nutrients and water from the soil, increased formation of amides, amino acids, proteins, lipids, hormones and other organic substances (Amar Singh, 2003). In larger polybag sizes, the increased

 Table 1: Influence of different sizes of poly bags and protected structures on length of scion and (cm) and number of leaves of mango graft.

Treatments		Leng	th of scion	(cm)		Number of leaves						
	30 DAG	60 DAG	90 DAG	120 DAG	150 DAG	30 DAG	60 DAG	90 DAG	120 DAG	150 DAG		
A. Polybag												
<b>B</b> <sub>1</sub>	11.38	12.25	12.95	14.42	16.41	7.38	9.27	10.40	12.09	13.93		
B <sub>2</sub>	11.34	12.27	13.12	14.67	16.68	7.72	9.51	10.81	12.37	14.29		
B <sub>3</sub>	11.48	12.43	13.90	15.27	17.58	7.67	9.61	11.28	12.42	14.64		
Ftest	NS	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	NS	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig		
SE(m) ±	0.09	0.04	0.14	0.16	0.20	0.11	0.03	0.06	0.09	0.11		
CD at 5%	-	0.11	0.42	0.46	0.58	-	0.08	0.17	0.27	0.32		
B. Protected structures												
S <sub>1</sub>	11.47	12.47	14.12	16.57	19.90	7.87	9.70	11.64	13.09	15.71		
S <sub>2</sub>	11.60	12.52	13.97	15.92	17.90	7.80	9.63	11.55	12.90	15.33		
S <sub>3</sub>	11.56	12.36	13.91	15.21	17.56	7.48	9.61	11.12	12.61	15.19		
S <sub>4</sub>	11.18	11.92	12.12	12.74	13.55	7.27	9.26	9.62	10.92	11.24		
S <sub>5</sub>	11.19	12.33	12.50	13.49	15.35	7.53	9.10	10.23	11.94	13.98		
<b>F</b> test	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig		
SE(m)	0.12	0.05	0.19	0.21	0.27	0.14	0.03	0.07	0.12	0.14		
CD at 5%	0.35	0.15	0.54	0.60	0.77	0.41	0.11	0.22	0.35	0.41		
Interaction (A	<b>x B</b> )											
S1B1	11.29	12.35	13.54	16.01	18.66	7.83	9.68	11.27	12.84	14.54		
S2B1	11.78	12.45	13.48	15.62	17.43	7.69	9.63	11.22	12.41	14.73		
S3B1	11.60	12.25	13.29	13.86	17.15	7.56	9.56	10.34	12.10	15.09		
S4B1	11.15	11.77	11.98	13.69	14.42	6.81	8.68	9.24	11.43	11.68		
S5B1	11.08	12.21	12.47	12.94	14.40	7.00	8.80	9.93	11.68	13.64		
S1B2	11.53	12.41	13.39	16.49	19.65	7.78	9.67	11.55	12.76	16.00		
S2B2	11.17	12.44	13.73	15.18	17.54	8.08	9.71	11.35	12.97	14.87		
S3B2	11.84	12.31	13.65	15.62	17.21	7.50	9.60	11.10	12.76	15.41		
S4B2	11.00	12.08	12.25	12.38	13.04	7.40	9.52	9.82	11.44	11.71		
S5B2	11.20	12.11	12.61	13.68	15.96	7.87	9.05	10.23	11.93	13.48		
S1B3	11.61	12.62	15.44	17.22	21.74	8.01	9.76	12.10	13.68	16.61		
S2B3	11.84	12.67	14.70	16.98	18.98	7.63	9.56	12.07	13.30	16.39		
S3B3	11.24	12.54	14.79	16.14	18.31	7.37	9.68	11.93	12.97	15.08		
S4B3	11.41	11.91	12.14	12.17	13.19	7.60	9.60	9.79	9.91	10.33		
S5B3	11.30	12.41	12.41	13.86	15.96	7.74	9.46	10.55	12.22	14.82		
<b>F</b> test	NS	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	NS	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig		
SE(m)	0.21	0.09	0.32	0.36	0.46	0.25	0.06	0.13	0.21	0.25		
CD at 5%	-	0.26	0.94	1.04	1.31	-	0.19	0.38	0.62	0.71		

soil volume provides more nutrients, resulting in vigorous graft growth which supports the findings of Singh (1998) in bhalia, Ilyas *et al.* (2014) in kinnow and Abera *et al.* (2018).

The different protected structures significantly influenced the number of leaves per graft at all the stages of growth i.e., 30, 60, 90, 120, 150 DAG and was found to be maximum (7.87, 9.70, 11.64, 13.09, 15.71) in treatment  $S_1$ , which was followed by  $S_2$  and  $S_3$ . The

difference in number of leaves might be due to favourable climatic conditions inside insect proof net and green shade net as compared to polytunnel and open conditions. The increased leaf count observed under the insect-proof net could be attributed to the reflective properties of the white-colored net. White surfaces tend to scatter and diffuse light, resulting in a more uniform distribution of light intensity across the plant canopy. This enhanced light availability may contribute to greater leaf production.

		Dian	eter of graft	Success	Final survival			
Treatments	30 DAG	<b>30 DAG 60 DAG 90 DAG 120 DAG 150 DAG</b>				percentage (%)	percentage (%)	
A. Polybag					1			
B <sub>1</sub>	6.14	6.33	6.53	6.76	6.80	62.00 (52.42)	72.86 (59.39)	
B <sub>2</sub>	6.22	6.44	6.71	6.88	6.90	61.50(52.04)	72.30 (59.26)	
B <sub>3</sub>	6.40	6.67	6.92	7.06	7.15	65.00(54.41)	73.02 (59.62)	
Ftest	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	NS	NS	
SE(m) ±	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.06	1.52	1.08	
CD at 5%	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.17			
B. Protected st	tructures							
S <sub>1</sub>	6.40	6.71	7.03	7.19	7.29	79.16(63.27)	86.74 (68.67)	
S,	6.45	6.64	6.85	7.09	7.19	76.66(61.31)	85.62 (67.72)	
S <sub>3</sub>	6.22	6.56	6.83	6.96	7.04	72.50 (58.47)	84.31 (66.68)	
S <sub>4</sub>	6.08	6.18	6.34	6.47	6.52	40.83 (39.65)	61.13 (51.50)	
S <sub>5</sub>	6.13	6.32	6.55	6.78	6.80	45.00 (42.09)	45.83 (42.55)	
Ftest	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	
SE(m)	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.08	1.96	1.40	
CD at 5%	0.22	0.23	0.23	0.21	0.23	5.61	4.00	
Interaction (A	xB)	•						
S1B1	6.17	6.35	6.51	6.69	6.81	80(63.78)	85.77 (67.86)	
S2B1	6.22	6.27	6.50	6.71	6.82	75 (60.08)	85.35 (67.47)	
S3B1	6.15	6.50	6.67	6.86	6.90	72.5 (58.42)	83.58 (66.10)	
S4B1	6.09	6.23	6.42	6.57	6.60	40.00 (39.15)	60.83 (51.28)	
S5B1	6.08	6.30	6.56	6.98	7.10	42.50 (40.65)	48.75 (44.25)	
S1B2	6.42	6.85	7.16	7.37	7.40	75.00 (60.61)	86.35 (68.35)	
S2B2	6.34	6.60	6.80	7.19	7.29	75.00 (60.61)	86.60 (68.51)	
S3B2	6.24	6.45	6.89	6.95	7.00	70 (56.92)	85.24 (67.40)	
S4B2	6.05	6.11	6.20	6.27	6.31	40.00 (43.54)	64.58 (53.59)	
S5B2	6.12	6.19	6.50	6.61	6.70	47.50(43.54)	38.75 (38.43)	
S1B3	6.60	6.95	7.43	7.52	7.66	82.5 (65.44)	88.09 (69.79)	
S2B3	6.80	7.05	7.24	7.37	7.45	80 (63.78)	84.92 (67.19)	
S3B3	6.26	6.73	6.92	7.08	7.16	75.00(60.61)	84.10(66.53)	
S4B3	6.14	6.20	6.39	6.57	6.66	42.50 (42.09)	58.00 (49.62)	
S5B3	6.20	6.45	6.60	6.75	6.84	45.00 (42.09)	50.00 (44.98)	
F test	NS	NS	Sig	Sig	Sig	NS	NS	
SE(m)	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.14	3.40 2.4		
CD at 5%	-	-	0.40	0.38	0.40	-	-	

 Table 2 : Influence of different size of poly bags and protected structures on diameter of graft (mm), success percentage and final survival percentage.

\*Figures in parenthesis are arc sine transformed values.

Anushma *et al.* (2014) also had similar results in jamun. The result of the experiment is in line with that of Mahmood *et al.* (2018) and Gaurav *et al.* (2016).

Significantly, maximum (9.76, 12.10, 13.68, 16.61 at 60, 90, 120, 150 DAG) number of leaves were noted in treatment combination  $S_1B_3$ , which was significantly superior over all treatment combinations. The combined use of protected condition and large polybag sizes significantly influenced the number of leaves. The

accelerated and sturdy formation of graft union, coupled with enhanced nutrient absorption, is likely responsible for the observed increase in leaf count per graft. The result of the experiment is in line with the findings of Thakre (2016) in acid lime.

#### Diameter of graft (mm)

The diameter of graft was found to be maximum (6.40, 6.67, 6.92, 7.06, 7.15 mm at 30, 60, 90, 120, 150

DAG, respectively) in polybag  $B_3$ , which was statistically at par with  $B_2$ . The variation in graft diameter may be attributed to the presence of a well-developed root system in large polybags, which enhance nutrient and water absorption from the soil. Soil in the smaller polybags becomes compacted and can affect number of leaf growth as well as diameter of the graft, which supports the findings of Abera *et al.* (2018), Haldankar *et al.* (2014) in mango and Begum *et al.* (2021) in *Khaya anthotheca.* 

At 30 DAG maximum (6.45 mm) diameter of graft was recorded in S<sub>2</sub> followed by S<sub>1</sub> (6.40 mm). Whereas at 60, 90, 120 and 150 DAG the diameter of the graft was recorded to be maximum (6.71, 7.03, 7.23 and 7.29 mm, respectively) in S<sub>1</sub>. The observed variation in graft diameter across different protected structures can be attributed to the favorable microclimate conditions within these structures. Specifically, factors such as temperature and relative humidity create an environment conducive to increased meristematic activity. The results of the experiment are in line with the findings of Mahmood *et al.* (2018) and Mditshwa *et al.* (2019).

Maximum diameter (7.43, 7.52, 7.66 mm at 90, 120, 150 DAG) of the graft was recorded in  $S_1B_3$ . The observed graft diameter appears to be influenced by the cumulative interaction of two key factors. Quick and strong graft union formation due to moderate temperature and high humidity, coupled with enhanced nutrient uptake by the roots likely contributes to maximal graft diameter. The result of the experiment is in line with the findings of Thakre (2016) in acid lime.

#### Success percentage (%)

The success percentage for different-sized poly bags was found to be non-significant in different-sized poly bags. Similar findings were noted by Haldankar *et al.* (2014) for mango grafts.

Regarding protected structures significantly, the maximum success percentage (79.16%) was observed, when mango grafts were kept under treatment  $S_1$ , which was at par with treatment  $S_2$  (76.66%) and  $S_3$  (72.50%). Whereas minimum success percentage was observed in treatment  $S_4$  (40.83%) and  $S_5$  (45%). The varying success percentages could be attributed to the significant influence of temperature and humidity on graft union formation. Temperature plays a crucial role in graft union formation by influencing callus development. This favorable climate during the study likely contributed to successful graft unions. The outcome of the investigation aligns with the research conducted by Mahesh *et al.* (2017) on mango.

The interaction effect of different size of poly bags and protected structures on success percentage was found to be non-significant.

#### Final survival percentage (%)

The final survival percentage for different-sized poly bags was found to be non-significant at 150 DAG. The sizes of the poly bag did not influence the final survival of the mango grafts. The successful union of rootstock and scion contributes for survival of the grafts. The results are in line with the findings of Haldankar *et al.* (2014) in mango.

Regarding protected structures significantly, the maximum final survival percentage (86.74%) was observed under treatment  $S_1$  followed by treatment  $S_2$ (85.62%) and S<sub>3</sub> (84.31%) whereas the minimum final survival percent was observed in treatment  $S_4$  (61.13%) and  $S_5$  (45.83%). These observations conform with the fact that shade, humid, and warm conditions after grafting might have resulted in increasing the survival percentage of grafts as reported by Islam et al. (2004) in mango and Ghosh *et al.* (2017) in sapota. Least graft survival was noticed in the polytunnel and open conditions. Polytunnels create a microclimate with higher temperatures and reduced air exchange. The extreme heat and excessive humidity might have stressed the grafts, affecting their survival. The results of the experiment conform with the findings of Manga et al. (2017) in guava.

The interaction effect of different size of poly bags and protected structures was found to be non-significant at 150 DAG.

#### Conclusion

The choice of poly bag size can impact the growth performance of the grafts. Optimizing polybag size is crucial for successful grafting and subsequent plant growth. Larger polybags offer advantages in terms of nutrient availability, scion length, and overall vigour. As we strive for sustainable horticulture practices, understanding the impact of poly bag size becomes increasingly relevant. Selecting the appropriate protected structure based on the season of grafting is critical for the successful growth of grafts. Protected structures create an environment conducive to successful grafting and subsequent growth of the grafts. In summary, the combination of insect-proof net and large polybag size creates an optimal micro-environment for mango grafts. It balances leaf production, stem elongation and overall plant health, ultimately contributing to successful grafting outcomes.

#### Acknowledgment

The authors are highly grateful to the Department of Fruit Science, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra for providing the necessary facilities to carry out the experimental work.

#### References

- Abera, B., Derero A., Waktole S. and Yilma G. (2018). Effect of pot size and growing media on seedling vigour of four indigenous tree species under semi-arid climatic conditions. *Forests, Trees and Livelihoods*, 27(1), 61-67.
- Adu-Berko, F., Idun I.A. and Amoah F.M. (2011). Influence of the size of nursery bag on the growth and development of cashew (*Anacardium occidentale*) seedlings. *Am. J. Exp. Agricult.*, 1(4), 440-449.
- Anushma, P.L., Swamy G.S.K. and Gangadhara K. (2014). Effect of coloured shade nets on softwood grafting success in jamun (*Syzigium cuminii* skeels). *Plant Archives*, **14(1)**, 293-295.
- Begum, N., Chowdhury G.M. and Hoque M.A. (2021). The selection of nursery polybag size on effect of growth and quality of *Khaya Anthotheca* (Meliaceae) seedlings under nursery condition in Bangladesh. J. Forest Environ. Sci., 37(2), 141-147.
- Biradar, I.B., Raghuramulu Y. and Naik K.V., (2014). Effect of root pruning and size of nursery bag on the growth and vigour of coffee seedlings. *Res J. Agric Sci.*, 5(4), 810-813.
- Chander, K.S., Kavino M. and Lokesh B. (2016). Effect of seasonal variation on softwood grafting under different environmental conditions in jamun (*Syzygium cumini* L.). *Res on Crops*, **17**(**3**), 524-528.
- Fatnassi, H., Boulard T. and Bouirden L. (2001). Simulation of air flux and temperature patterns in a large-scale greenhouse equipped with insect proof nets. In : International Symposium on Design and Environmental Control of Tropical and Subtropical Greenhouses, 578, 329-337.
- Gaurav, A.K., Raju D.V., Janakiram T., Singh B.H., Jain R. and Krishnan S.G. (2016). Effect of different coloured shade nets on production and quality of cordyline. *Indian J. Agricult. Sci.*, 86(7), 865-869.
- Ghosh, S.N., Bera B. and Banik B.C. (2010). Effect of cultivars and season on grafting success in sapota under Paschim Midnapur conditions of West Bengal. J. Horticult. Sci., 5(2), 138-139.
- Haldankar, P.M., Parulekar Y.R., Kulkarni M.M. and Lawande E.E. (2014). Effect of Size of Polybag on Survival and Growth of Mango Grafts. *J. Plant Studies*, **3**(1), 91-95.
- Ilyas, K.M., Sharma A., Wali V.K., Bakshi P. and Rani S. (2014). Growth and survival of budded Kinnow plants as influenced by different types of black polybags and soil media. *Afr. J. Agricult. Res.*, 9(22), 1672-1680.

- Islam, M.N., Rahim M.A. and Farooque A.M. (2004). Standardization of time and grafting techniques in Mango under Bangladesh condition. *Asian J. Pl. Sci.*, 3(3), 378-386.
- Jain, S.K. (2021). Assessment of microenvironment under hardening shed on mango and cashew grafts. *The Pharma Innov. J.*, **10(4)**, 475-479.
- Karna, A.K., Varu D.K., Patel M.K. and Panda P.A. (2018). Effect of grafting time on success of softwood grafting in mango (Mangifera indica L.). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, **7(8)**, 3072-3077.
- Mahesh, S., Hipparagi K., Goudappanavar B., Thirupathaiah G. and Naik R. (2018). Influence of Different Mango Varieties and Time of Grafting on Graft Survivability (%) in both Polyhouse and Shade Net Under Northern Dry Zone of Karnataka. *Int J Pure Appl Biosci.*, 5(5), 1445-1451.
- Mahmood, A., Hua Y., Tanny J. and Asantea E.A. (2018). Effects of shading and insect-proof screens on crop microclimate and production: A review of recent advances. *Scientia Horticulturae*, **241**, 241-251.
- Manga, B., Jholgiker P., Swamy G.S.K., Prabhuling G. and Sandhyarani N. (2017). Studies on the effect of propagation environment for softwood grafting in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Sardar. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci., 6(6), 2779-2783.
- Mditshwa, A., Magwaza L.S. and Tesfay S.Z. (2019). Shade netting on subtropical fruit: Effect on environmental conditions, tree physiology, and fruit quality. *Scientia Horticulturae*, **256**, 108556.
- Panse, V.G and Sukhatme P.V. (1985). *Statistical methods for Agricultural Workers*. 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed, Publication and Information Division of ICAR, New Delhi.
- Singh, Ranjit (1969). Fruits : A Book Published by National Book Trust (p. 32). New Delhi, India.
- Singh, B.P. (1998). Growth and growth analysis of bhalia (*Flemingia macrophylla*) in relation to date of sowing and size of polythene bags in nursery. *Indian J. Forestry*, 21(1), 34-37.
- Singh, Amar (2003). Fruit physiology and production (pp. 88-92). A Book Published by Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana.
- Sivudu, B.V., Reddy M.L.N., Baburatan P. and Dorajeerao A.V.D. (2014). Effect of structural conditions on veneer grafting success and survival of mango grafts. *Plant Archives*, 14(1), 71-75
- Tanny, J. (2013). Microclimate and evapotranspiration of crops covered by agricultural screens: A review. *Biosystems Engineering*, **114**(1), 26-43.
- Thakre, P. (2016). Studies of different methods of nursery raising in acid lime. *M.Sc. Thesis*, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra.